

THE "REPREHENSIBLE CONDUCT" OF THE EXPERTS
Carla Vecchiotti and Stefano Conti who altered the meaning
of forensic police statements to fit their own thesis.

They claimed the technology didn't exist to test a small trace
of DNA that was later successfully tested and the result was

Amanda Knox's profile sample 36-I

Falsely claimed records of negative and positive controls
didn't exist when court records proved they did.

It was the responsibility of Vecchiotti and Conti to look at
all the data available but they did not.

Over the course of approximately 50 pages of his 337
reasoning report, Judge Nencini does a thorough analysis of
all the court evidence, lab data and depositions by all the
forensic consultants and essentially debunks Vecchiotti and
Conti's report.